Emergency Response Preparedness: Earthquake and Flood Contingency Planning

Based on HCT Presentation on 21st February at UN House

Emergency Response Preparedness: Earthquake contingency planning



Collapsed stone and mud mortar house in Duna, Sindhupalchok, following 2015 Gorkha earthquake

Tom Robinson, Nick Rosser, Alex Densmore, Katie Oven,



Surya Shrestha, Ramesh Guragain



Overview

- 2015 Gorkha earthquake was worst in 90 years
- 9000 fatalities, 22,000 injuries, 3.5 million displaced
- Not as large as had been expected M_w 8.5+ earthquake anticipated with 100,000+ fatalities (Dixit et al 2014; Bilham et al. 2001, Wyss, 2005)
- Scope of this study:
 - Inform contingency planning, not training
 - Absolute impacts to residential buildings:
 - Fatalities
 - Injuries
 - Building Collapse
 - Displaced population
 - Focus on large EQs requiring International response
 - M7.0 M8.6
 - Results at all Administrative levels





Earthquake Loss Modelling

- Population and building data from 2011 National Census
- Fragility curves and fatality rates from global empirical data (Guragain, 2012; HAZUS, GEMECD)
- Verified on the 2015 earthquake
- 30 EQs for 3 different times = 90 scenarios



HAZUS & Emily So

Scenario Ensembles



Scenario	Time of Day			
(Location-Fault_Mag)	Work Day	Non-work Day	Night	
Wind-MFT_8.6	10,631	16,023	24,137	
FWMW-MFT_8.6	36,770	53,175	81,434	
WC-MFT_8.6	74,256	85,299	144,394	
CE-MFT_8.6	65,946	71,960	124,942	
Eind-MFT_8.6	15,231	20,321	32,665	
W-Ind-MFT_8.3	5,908	8,956	13,458	
FW-MFT_8.3	15,907	24,490	36,544	
MW-MFT_8.3	24,404	37,559	56,089	
W-MFT_8.3	32,200	43,013	68,178	
C-MFT_8.3	53,025	55,444	98,375	
E-MFT_8.3	23,731	30,196	49,265	
Eind-MFT_8.3	7,536	10,608	16,679	
WInd-MFT_7.8	3,100	5,070	7,429	
FW-MFT_7.8	8,561	14,070	20,491	
MW-MFT_7.8	12,796	21,050	30,638	
W-MFT_7.8	19,644	26,476	41,891	
C-MFT_7.8	38,333	36,876	68,301	
E-MFT_7.8	9,739	14,316	22,200	
Eind-MFT_7.8	3,791	5,911	8,902	
WInd-MFT_7.0	856	1,298	1,958	
FW-MFT_7.0	6,474	10,338	15,259	
MW-MFT_7.0	8,518	13,645	20,090	
W-MFT_7.0	11,369	16,400	25,114	
C-MFT_7.0	28,038	23,261	46,414	
E-MFT_7.0	6,882	9,916	15,537	
Eind-MFT_7.0	2,267	3,372	5,134	
SChn-KKM_7.8	166	323	523	
MW-WFSN_7.8	5,292	9,023	13,042	
MW-WFSS_7.3	7,361	12,134	17,727	
W-TKG 7.3	1.560	2.531	3.798	



Variability



<u>Fatalities:</u> Likelihood: 43% Average: 5,500 Worst-case: 20,000 Variability: 0.329 - Avg

Injuries: Likelihood: 64% Average: 18,000 Worst-case: 138,000 Variability: 0.295 - Avg

Building Damage: Likelihood: 50% Average: 24,000 Worst-case: 79,000 Variability: 0.423 - Max



<u>Fatalities:</u> Likelihood: 59% Average: 800 Worst-case: 17,000 Variability: 0.176 - Avg

Injuries: Likelihood: 89% Average: 3,000 Worst-case: 89,000 Variability: 0.165 - Avg

Building Damage: Likelihood: 70% Average: 3,000 Worst-case: 39,000 Variability: 0.231 - Avg



<u>Fatalities:</u> Likelihood: 72% Average: 3,000 Worst-case: 58,000 Variability: 0.205 - Avg

Injuries: Likelihood: 92% Average: 10,000 Worst-case: 274,000 Variability: 0.217 - Avg

Building Damage: Likelihood: 77% Average: 11,000 Worst-case: 172,000 Variability: 0.289 - Avg



<u>Fatalities:</u> Likelihood: 84% Average: 1,400 Worst-case: 20,000 Variability: 0.222 - Avg

Injuries: Likelihood: 97% Average: 8,000 Worst-case: 122,000 Variability: 0.249 - Avg

Building Damage: Likelihood: 87% Average: 11,000 Worst-case: 79,000 Variability: 0.319 - Avg



<u>Fatalities:</u> Likelihood: 77% Average: 4,500 Worst-case: 35,000 Variability: 0.218 - Avg

Injuries: Likelihood: 96% Average: 25,000 Worst-case: 202,000 Variability: 0.236 - Avg

Building Damage: Likelihood: 87% Average: 17,000 Worst-case: 107,000 Variability: 0.308 – Avg * Worst-case in hills – 44,000 * Average in terai – 3,000



<u>Fatalities:</u> Likelihood: 64% Average: 2,200 Worst-case: 11,000 Variability: 0.288 - Avg

Injuries: Likelihood: 82% Average: 11,000 Worst-case: 84,000 Variability: 0.278 - Avg

Building Damage: Likelihood: 70% Average: 10,000 Worst-case: 42,000 Variability: 0.388 - Max



<u>Fatalities:</u> Likelihood: 61% Average: 2,000 Worst-case: 17,000 Variability: 0.250 - Avg

Injuries: Likelihood: 80% Average: 6,000 Worst-case: 109,000 Variability: 0.253 - Avg

Building Damage: Likelihood: 63% Average: 10,000 Worst-case: 53,000 Variability: 0.376 - Max



Emergency Response Preparedness Schedule (Earthquake)



UNITED NATIONS NEPAL

Emergency Response Preparedness Schedule (Flood)



CLUSTERS FOR EMERGENCY







y Planning Jures XXX,000 People affected	xxx,000 people displaced	XX districts/areas affected	xxx,000 deaths	xxx,000 injured	xxx,000 houses destroyed
MAP TITLE			W		
		preparedness by the 0 optimize the speed an contingency plan to 1) monitor potential flood Establish a minimum I Build the basis for a jo the first 30 days of a h	Government and the H ad volume of critical ass Reach a common und ling in the Terai to ensu- level of multi-hazard pro- point HCT response stra- numanitarian emergence	umanitarian Country Te sistance, the HCT has derstanding of the flood ure early action is taken reparedness across count tegy to meet the needs by	eam (HCT). To developed a I risk and how to a when required; 2) re clusters; and to 3) a of affected people in
		PLANNING ASSUMPT	IONS		
				iv. xxxx	
		iii xxxxx		v. xxxx	
		XXXXX			
		RESPONSE OBJECTI	VES		
		i. Alleviate human suffe	ering by providing	iv. XXXXX	
 Δffected areas		⁻ ¦ ^{ii.} Facilitate		V. XXXX	
XXX XXX XXX		Strengthen			
		1			

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Early Warning/Preparedness		I I	Response from first day onwards		
Phase Procedure	Lead	1	Phase Procedure Lead		
-5	RCO		D1 RCO		
-4	Gov		D2 Gov		
-3	RC		D3 XXX		
-2	ОСНА		D4 xxx		
-1	UN		D5		
			D6		
			W1		
Response – First 24 hours			W2		
Phase Procedure	Lead	i I			
+3	RCO		W3		
+6	Gov		M1		
+12	ОСНА		M3		
+24	All		M6		

RESPONSE BY SECTOR



Government Lead: Ministry of .. Sector Lead: AGENCY/NAME/EMAIL Members: AGENCY NAME, AGENCY NAME

Priority Preparedness Activities • Strengthen..

• •

• Develop....

Support....

Priority ResponseActivitiesStrengthen..

• •

• Ensure....

Address....



Government Lead: Ministry of .. Sector Lead: AGENCY/NAME/EMAIL Members: AGENCY NAME, AGENCY NAME

Priority Preparedness

- Strengthen..
- •
- Develop....
- Support....

Priority Response

- Strengthen..
- . ..
- Ensure....
- Address....



Government Lead: Ministry of .. Sector Lead: AGENCY/NAME/EMAIL Members: AGENCY NAME, AGENCY NAME Priority Preparedness Activities • Strengthen.. • ... • Develop....

- Support....
- Priority Response Activities • Strengthen..
- ·· • Ensure....
- Address....

RESPONSE BY SECTOR



Government Lead: Ministry of .. Sector Lead: AGENCY/NAME/EMAIL Members: AGENCY NAME, AGENCY NAME

Priority Preparedness Activities • Strengthen..

• ••

• Develop....

Support....

Priority Response Activities • Strengthen..

• •

• Ensure....

Address....



Government Lead: Ministry of .. Sector Lead: AGENCY/NAME/EMAIL Members: AGENCY NAME, AGENCY NAME

Priority Preparedness

• Strengthen..

• • •

• Develop....

Support....

Priority Response

• Strengthen..

. ..

Ensure....

Address....



Government Lead: Ministry of .. Sector Lead: AGENCY/NAME/EMAIL Members: AGENCY NAME, AGENCY NAME Priority Preparedness Activities • Strengthen.. • ... • Develop..... Support....

Priority Response Activities • Strengthen..

• Ensure....

Address....

Country: Disaster Type Contingency Plan (Month-Month Year)



Need of Collaboration and Partnership with National Level DM Network



Example of Existing Consortium on DRRM





Thank you!